

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,341	02/17/2004	Kurt A. Carlsen	BUR920000061US1	9961
21918	7590 10/17/2006		EXAM	INER
DOWNS RACHLIN MARTIN PLLC			STOUFFER, KELLY M	
199 MAIN S	STREET		ART UNIT	
P O BOX 19	P O BOX 190			PAPER NUMBER
BURLINGT	BURLINGTON, VT 05402-0190			
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/780,341 CARLSEN, KURT A.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kelly Stouffer	1762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
EPLY FILED 26 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE 1	THIS APPLICATION IN CON	DITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	

THE R 1, X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See attached detailed action. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) I will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 19-35,37 and 38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See attached detailed action.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/780,341

**Art Unit: 1762** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendments filed 26 September 2006 have not been entered. The amended claims add the provision of an enclosure defining a chamber having a length and a central axis extending along the length with the substrates perpendicular to the central axis. The addition of this information into the claims would require a new search; therefore the amendments are not entered.
- 2. In addition, the amendments filed 26 September 2006 have not been entered because they introduce new matter into the claims because they are not supported by the specification.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 26 September 2006, with respect to the 35 USC 112 rejections of claims 26-34 and have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argued that the wording of using silane and arsine as examples for a carrier and doping gas is sufficient for supporting the broad requirement of an exhaust gas and carrier gas in the claims. The examiner maintains that this requirement is not reasonably supported by the specification, as it is too broad and undefined to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the invention as claimed. The Applicant used silane and arsine throughout the disclosure and did not provide for the use of any

Application/Control Number: 10/780,341

Art Unit: 1762

other gases. Therefore, the 112 rejections with regard to new matter from the previous Office Action are maintained.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 26 September 2006 with regard to the 35 USC 102 and 103 rejections have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with regard to the 35 USC 102 b and 103 a rejection under Aitchinson and Aitchinson and Taylor are not persuasive because the arguments are drawn to the amended material in the claims that was not entered. The Applicant further argues that there is not sufficient motivation to combine the Shiban and Aitchinson references for a 103 a rejection. The examiner argues that regardless of the turbulence of the two disclosed gases, one would still be motivated to use the scrubbing exhaust procedure taught by Aitchinson in the method of Shiban et al. to operably scrub the exhaust gas of Shiban et al. The examiner asserts that the modifications would not change the principle of operation of the two devices because both references recognize the need to scrub the exhaust gas as was cited in the previous Office Action.

#### Conclusion

Therefore, the 102 b and 103 a rejections of the previous Office Action are maintained.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kelly Stouffer whose telephone number is (571) 272-2668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,341

Art Unit: 1762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kelly Stouffer Examiner Art Unit 1762

kms

TIMOTHY MEEKS